University of Lethbridge



Background

Neurons in the brain process information by exchanging action potentials. To better understand how information is presented and processed, it is important to record many neurons from behaving animals. Multiple-electrode recordings have become an increasingly widespread tool in electrophysiology, enabling the simultaneous recording of spiking activity from tens to hundreds of neurons. These spike patterns have been analyzed by various statistical methods. Nevertheless, the detection of cell assemblies, the quantification of their correlations and estimation of synaptic interactions occurring in the underlying neural networks remain difficult problems.

Information geometry (IG) has been proposed as a novel and powerful tool for multiple neural data analysis (Amari, 2001). We have shown that the 2-neuron IG measure can infer the strength of connection weights under both correlated and uncorrelated inputs (Tatsuno et al. 2009, Nie & Tatsuno, 2012). This property is useful in neuroscience because it may provide a way to estimate the learning-induced changes in synaptic strengths from extracellular neuronal recordings. However, the influence of correlated and uncorrelated inputs to higher-order IG measures has not be investigated yet.

Objective

Our goal is to provide theoretical understanding of how the higher-order IG measures are affected by correlated and uncorrelated inputs. In addition, we also investigate how the 1neuron IG measure is related to those inputs.

Information-geometric measure

Information-geometric (IG) measure provides a way to estimate neuronal interactions in a hierarchical manner by different orders of log linear model (LLM). For instance, the 2nd-order LLM of an N-neuron system provides the probability dist. of neuron x_1 and x_2

$$\log p(x_1, x_2) = \theta_1^{(k,N)} x_1 + \theta_2^{(k,N)} x_2 + \theta_{12}^{(k,N)} x_1 x_2$$

where $\theta_1^{(k,N)}, \theta_2^{(k,N)}, \theta_{12}^{(k,N)}$ represent IG measures.

 $\theta_1^{(4,N)} = \log \frac{p_{1000*}}{n}, \theta_2^{(4,N)} = \log \frac{p_{0100*}}{n}, \theta_{12}^{(4,N)} = \log \frac{p_{1100*}p_{0000*}}{n}$ p_{1000*}p_{0100*}

How to compute IG measures from spike train (2- and 3-neuron cases) Convert spike trains to binary trains using a small time bin.

	Spike trains	#1 I		
		#3		
	Binary Binning	#1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1		
		#2 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0		
2.	For 2-neuron system between #1 and #2, count the			
	probability of occurrence for each pattern over all bins			
	$p_{00} = \frac{n_{00}}{n}, p_{01} = \frac{n_{01}}{n}, p_{10} = \frac{n_{10}}{n}, p_{11} = \frac{n_{11}}{n} \text{ where } n = \sum_{i,j} n_{ij}$			
	The IG measures are given by			
	$\theta_1^{(2)} = \log \frac{p_{10}}{p_{00}}, \theta_2^{(2)} = \log \frac{p_{01}}{p_{00}}, \theta_{12}^{(2)} = \log \frac{p_{11}p_{00}}{p_{01}p_{10}}$			
	$\theta_1^{(2)}, \theta_2^{(2)}$ are related to firing property of #1 and			
	#2 respectively, and $\theta_{12}^{(2)}$ is a measure for connection weights.			
3.	For 3-neuron system, 3-neuron IG measure is calculated as,			
	$\theta_{123}^{(3,3)} = \log \frac{p_{111}p_{100}p_{010}p_{001}}{p_{110}p_{101}p_{011}p_{000}}$			
	which indicates a triple-wise interaction between 3 neurons.			

Characterization of information-geometric measures under correlated and uncorrelated inputs Yimin Nie¹, Jean-Marc Fellous² and Masami Tatsuno¹

¹Department of Neuroscience, Canadian Centre for Behavioural Neuroscience, University of Lethbridge, AB, Canada ²Department of Psychology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA









C: 1-neuron IG with LLM of different orders (1-10, from

E: Numerical simulation of 1-neuron IG measure with 4thorder LLM for a 1000-neuron asymmetric network. The measure is insensitive to correlated input W (Red curve) but is linearly related to uncorrelated input H (Blue curve). The parameters are h=0.500, 2J=0.002 and m=1.