# The effects of multiple firing events on pattern stability in continuous attractor networks without lateral excitation

1 Program in Applied Mathematics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ - 2 Department of Psychology, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ - 2 4 Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of South Florida, Tampa FL

## Introduction

### **Background/Motivation:**

- Continuous attractor networks have been used to model persistent neural activity in several contexts - e.g. working memory, head direction cells, and grid cells
- Networks form "bumps" in 1-D and "grids" in 2-D
- Synchrony induced by lateral excitation has been shown to adversely affect the stability of patterns in continuous attractor networks [1]
- Transient, local synchrony can emerge through brief, rapid firing cascades known as Multiple Firing Events (MFEs) [6]
- Experiments suggest that some systems (head direction) cells, grid cells) can be modeled by continuous attractor networks without lateral excitation [7,8]

#### Are attractor networks with no lateral excitation more stable? What factors affect their stability?

### **Goals:**

- Compare the stability properties of spiking continuous attractor networks with and without lateral excitation
- Determine the relationship between transient synchrony and pattern ("bump") stability in networks without lateral excitation
- Develop a mechanistic understanding of synchrony and pattern stability, in terms of MFEs

## Mode

#### **Neuron model**



boundary conditions

Spatially structured connectivity









#### **Bumps in networks without lateral excitation remain stable** across a broader range of excitatory synaptic timescales:



#### Sufficent amount of fast E-I synapses can still destabilize pattern, leading to bump drift





2. Compute variance of histogram

Results

## **Stability of activity patterns:**

**Stable bumps can form in both types of network architectures:** 

Stable bump w/o lateral excitation (100% NMDA)

Stable bump in center-surround network (100% NMDA)





10 s

10 s

Higher input rates re-stabilize the bump

Input rate = 0.9 kHz







#### **Bump drift is** associated with synchrony

Measuring synchrony:

I. Bin all spikes in time (2.5 ms bins)

3. Divide by expected variance assuming ind. **Poisson trains** 



200 ms

100% NMDA



200 ms

### David Lyttle<sup>1</sup>, Alfredo Weitzenfeld<sup>4</sup>, Jean-Marc Fellous<sup>1,3</sup> and Kevin K Lin<sup>1,2</sup>



![](_page_0_Figure_62.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_63.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_64.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_65.jpeg)